

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
Club Building (Near Post Office)
Old JNU Campus, New Delhi - 110067
Tel: +91-11-26161796

Decision No. CIC/SM/A/2011/001129/SG/15485
Appeal No. CIC/SM/A/2011/001129/SG

Relevant Facts emerging from the Application:

Appellant	:	Mr. Ganatra Bipinchandra Chandulal. (President Custom & Central Excise Pensioner's Association Rajkot) 101 Subhashnagar 'Jaliyan' Behind Amra Pall Cinema, Rajkot (Gujarat)
Respondent	:	Mr. Rama Rao, Public Information Office & General Manager Dena Bank Dena Corporate Center C-10 G Block, Bandra Kurla Complex Bandra East, Mumbai - 400 051 Telephone – 26545103
RTI application filed on	:	29/04/2010 recd on 07/05/2010
PIO replied	:	04/06/2010 & 24/07/2010
First appeal filed on	:	17/08/2010
First Appellate Authority order	:	20/09/2010
Second Appeal received on	:	30/03/2011

Information Sought:

Regarding revision of pension on account of 6th pay Commission

- (1) Copy of reference made to competent authority for revision of pension as 11,200/- w.e.f. 1-1-2006.
- (2) Copy of reply received from the competent authority for considering revision of pension as 11,200/-
- (3) Copy of direction/guideline/ Instruction given by the competent authority for revision of pension in light of O.M. dated 14-10-2008, for considering the revision of pension.

Reply of the Public Information Officer (PIO):

Application fee made by Court fee stamp, which is not acceptable. No objection from Mr. Jadeja required. Information is 22 pages, hence 44 rupees should be paid.

Grounds for the First Appeal:

Information provided is misleading, incomplete and incorrect. Information sought was not furnished with in prescribed limit.

Order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA):

On 24/06/2010 the appellant has paid the fee appropriately, and CPIO gave information on 24/07/2010. Therefore I hold that the appeal has no merit. Appeal of the Appellant is rejected accordingly.

Grounds for the Second Appeal:

Information provided is misleading, incomplete and incorrect.

Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing:

The following were present

Appellant: Mr. Ganatra Bipinchandra Chandul on video conference from NIC-Rajkot Studio;

Respondent: Mr. Ramesh Madan, ADM(Legal) on behalf of Mr. Rama Rao, Public Information Office & General Manager on video conference from NIC-Mumbai Suburban Studio;

The PIO is directed to give the copy of reference made in the case of Mr. Jadeja to the competent authority and the copy of the answer received in the matter to the Appellant. The PIO is also directed to provide information on whether there are any guidelines for the O.M.. If no guidelines in existence this will be stated.

Decision:

The Appeal is allowed.

The PIO is directed to provide the information as directed above to the Appellant before 25 November 2011.

This decision is announced in open chamber.

Notice of this decision be given free of cost to the parties.

Any information in compliance with this Order will be provided free of cost as per Section 7(6) of RTI Act.

**Shailesh Gandhi
Information Commissioner
04 November 2011**

(In any correspondence on this decision, mention the complete decision number.)PG