

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

(Room No.313, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067)

**Before Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhushi Sridhar), CIC**

**CIC/POSTS/A/2017/156840**

**Om Prakash Sharma v. PIO, Department of Posts**

**Order Sheet:** RTI filed on 28.04.2017, CPIO replied on 12.06.2017, FAO passed on 20.07.2017  
Second appeal filed on 10.08.2017

**Proceedings on 01.12.2017:** Appellant present from NIC Jaipur, Public Authority represented by CPIO. Mr P.R. Tharela, **Date of Decision: 05.12.2017;** Posted on 04.01.2018 for compliance

**INTERIM ORDER**

**FACTS:**

1. Appellant sought the following information, (1) Xerox copy of his name in the Attendance register, (2) Xerox copy of the income, EL, and HPL which has been deducted, (3) The amount by which my annual income increase and MACP has been postponed, and (4) Copy of document which says by how much the appellant's promotion and work benefit has been postponed. The CPIO's reply was the following, (1) that the information was not available in record form, (2) That for getting the copy the appellant should first pay Rs. 2x88=176/- with receipt at the office, (3) That the details will be available in point 2 and lastly, (4) Promotion has not been postponed. The Appellant filed for First Appeal saying information is insufficient however the FAA upheld the CPIO's order.

**Decision :**

2. The appellant complained that though he deposited Rs. 176, illegible documents were provided to him. He flashed the documents through the Video Conferencing camera and most of the pages were completely blackened and impossible to read.

3. He alleged that CPIO was causing delay in resolving his seniority issue which has resulted in reduction of his salary by Rs. 17,000 (approximately). The Commission finds that the appellant has a genuine case as giving illegible documents amount to denial of information.

4. The CPIO is directed to provide complete information along with extract of weeding out register recording the removal of attendance register; CPIO is directed to explain why the Public Authority should not be directed to pay compensation to the appellant for providing illegible documents, within 15 days from this date.

5. The Public Authority is directed to return the amount of Rs. 176 deposited by the appellant.

6. All the responses shall reach the Commission by 04.01.2018 and the case is posted for compliance proceedings on 04.01.2018.

SD/-

(M. Sridhar Acharyulu)  
Central Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Dinesh Kumar)  
Deputy Registrar

Copy of decision given to the parties free of cost.

Addresses of the parties:

1. The CPIO under RTI,  
Department of Posts,  
O/o Addl Supddt, Rail Dak  
Services, JP Division,  
Jaipur-302006, Rajasthan.
2. Shri Om Prakash Sharma,