

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
Room No.414, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka
New Delhi-110067

F. No.**CIC/NDMCC/A/2016/294805**

Date of Hearing : **22.01.2018**
Date of Decision : **22.01.2018**
Appellant/Complainant : Shri Rajender Saxena
Respondent : PIO/Executive Engineer-(Bldg.)/
City Zone, North Delhi Municipal
Corporation
Through:
Information Commissioner : Shri Yashovardhan Azad

Relevant facts emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on : 09.03.2016
PIO replied on : 18.05.2016
First Appeal filed on : 30.05.2016
First Appellate Order on : 08.06.2016 -
2nd Appeal/complaint received on : 26.07.2016

Information sought and background of the case:

Vide RTI application dated 09.03.2016, the appellant sought information to which the PIO responded vide reply dated 18.05.2016, extracts whereof are as follows:

S.I. Nos.	Query of the Appellant	Reply of the CPIO
1.	Provide the copy of the report submitted by the efficient concerned officer, through whom your directions/instructions are carried on for action on behalf of the aforesaid complaint.	As per diary your complaint dated 22.12.2015 received in this office vide dairy No. 7848/EE(B) dated 22.12.2015 and same has been sent to concerned area J.E on dated 29.12.2015, However, the said Property No. 69, Chawri Bazar

		has been already Regularised vide file No. D/EE(B)/CZ/2012/67 dated 19.04.2012.
2.	If the concerned authority issued the directions and/or instructions to the officer concerned, the copy of the same may be provided to the complainant	As above
3.	Day to day report of action taken under the instructions and directions given to the concerned dealing officers, by the Director. Provide the certified true copies of the aforesaid daily report till date.	As above

Dissatisfied with the response received from CPIO, the appellant filed first appeal dated 30.05.2016. The FAA directed the PIO to provide the specific & complete reply as per record to the applicant within 10 days positively.

Feeling aggrieved, over non compliance of FAO, the appellant approached the Commission.

Relevant facts emerging during hearing:

None of the parties are present for hearing.

Decision:

Perusal of the records of the case reveal that the FAA has passed a non speaking and summary order without specifying how the PIO's order is incomplete and unsatisfactory. The case is remanded back to the FAA to adjudicate over the matter and decide the same on merits, giving specific directions to the PIO to furnish the deficient information, if any. An Action taken report shall be positively submitted before the Commission within two weeks of receipt of this order.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

(Yashovardhan Azad)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(R.P.Grover)
Designated Officer

