

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Room No.315, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110 066)

**File No.CIC/SA/C/2014/000229**

**Complainant** : **Ms. Sakshi Jain**

**Respondent** : **Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi**

**Date of hearing** : **26-12-2014**

**Date of decision** : **08-01-2015**

**Information Commissioner** : **Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu  
(Madabhushi Sridhar)**

**Referred Sections** : **Sections 18 of the Act**

**Result** : **Complaint  
Allowed/disposed of**

The complainant is represented by her father Mr. Amit K. Jain. The Public Authority is represented by Dr. Vijay Kumar, PIO.

## **FACTS:**

2. The Complainant through his RTI application dated 10.12.2013 had sought information in relation to show Cause Notice served under RTI Act to PIO, Dy. Registrar, SO, Asst. Registrar, Penalty paid by any officer of GGSIPU under RTI Act viz Name and Designation of

the officials on whom CIC served show cause notice u/s 20 of RTI Act and imposed penalty in the last 3 years – 2011,2012,2013. Having recieved no information within the prescribed period, the Complainant preferred First Appeal. Claiming non-frunishing of information within the prescribed period, the complainant has approached the Commission U/S 18 of RTI Act.

### **DECISION**

3. Both the parties made their submissions. The respondent authority submitted that they had transferred the RTI application to the Commisison, thinking that the CIC is the right authority to provide the information sought by the complainant and also due to the fact that the desired information is not in compiled form with the respondent authority and it takes lot of time. The Commisison having heard the submissions and perused the file, finds that the purpose of the complaint would be served by furnishing the information sought by her and hence directs the respondent authority to provide the information to the appellatant on how many Show Cause Notices were recived by the them from the Commisison and how many are converted into the imposition of penalty for the last 3 years, within one month from the date of receipt of this order. The Commisison does not accept the contention of the complainant that there is obstruction of information by the respondent authority. The complaint is **closed**.

M.Sridhar Acharyulu)  
Information Commisisoner

Authenticated true copy

(Babu Lal)

Deputy Registrar

Addresses of the parties:

1. The CPIO under the RTI Act,  
Govt. of NCT of Delhi  
Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Sector-16C  
Dwaraka, New Delhi-110075
  
2. Ms. Sakshi Jain, B-166, Ramprastha Colony  
PO-Chandra Nagar, Ghaziabad-201011 UP