

Central Information Commission, New Delhi
File No.CIC/SM/A/2012/001798
Right to Information Act-2005-Under Section (19)

Date of hearing : **10 May 2013**

Date of decision : **10 May 2013**

Name of the Appellant : **Ms. Medha Rani,**
House No. 17-B, Sector 43-A,
Chandigarh.

Name of the Public Authority : **CPIO, Central Bureau of Investigation,**
Anti Corruption Branch, Sector 30 A,
Chandigarh.

The Appellant was not present, in spite of notice.

On behalf of the Respondent, Shri Tarun Ghaba was present.

Chief Information Commissioner : **Shri Satyananda Mishra**

2. We heard the submissions of the respondent. The Appellant had sent his written submissions earlier. After carefully considering the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties, we are of the view that the CPIO is not required to give any information in the case. In the first place, the Appellant has hardly sought any information within the meaning of section 2(f) of the Right to Information (RTI) Act. She has made wild allegations against the CBI and its officials posing many offensive and contemptuous questions such as the rate of bribe the CBI has fixed for doing irregular things etc. Making such

allegations does not entitle her to demand information in accordance with the proviso to section 24(1) of the Right to Information (RTI) Act. The CPIO had, in response to the so-called RTI application, already declined to provide any information by referring to the inclusion of the CBI in the second schedule to the RTI Act. We entirely agree with him.

3. There is no merit in the appeal. It is disposed off accordingly.
4. Copies of this order be given free of cost to the parties.

(Satyananda Mishra)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

(Vijay Bhalla)
Deputy Registrar