

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Room No.315, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110 066)

CIC/SA/A/2014/000835

Appellant : **Ashwani**
Respondent : **Delhi Transport Corporation**
Date of hearing : **5.12.2014**
Date of decision : **11.12.2014**

Information Commissioner : **Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu**
(Madabhushi Sridhar)

Referred Sections : **Section 3, 19(3) of the RTI Act**

Result : **Appeal allowed/
Disposed of**

Heard on 5.12.14. Appellant present. Respondent is represented by Shri Toppo and Shri Khurana

2. The appellant has filled RTI application to seek 8 point information with respect to allegation made against him vide letter dt 18.3.2013 viz. written statement of a person on whose statement allegation made on me; copy of rule on the basis of which caste discrimination made etc. On not receiving any reply from the CPIO within the prescribed time, the appellant made first appeal on 11.4.2014.

In response to the order of the Appellate Authority, PIO replied on 5.5.14. Being aggrieved with the reply, appellant made second appeal before the Commission.

3. During the hearing, the Respondent submitted that a complaint was made by the conductor and after the charges were proved, he was imposed a penalty of withholding of increments. Since then he had filed numerous RTI applications seeking the details of the conductor. Respondent added that point wise information was provided to the Appellant.

4. The Commission holds that it is a bad practice developed by some of the Appellants who are trying to misuse RTI to avenge against those who made complaints against them. Even after that complaint was proved and consequentially penal measures were taken, RTI is not meant for private interest of employees against whom disciplinary actions were taken. Such attempts to misuse have to be discouraged so that valuable times of Public Authority are not wasted in answering frivolous and vengeful RTI questions. Appellant is advised to approach appropriate forum for redressal of his grievance.

5. The appeal is dismissed.

Sd/-

(M. Sridhar Acharyulu)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Babu Lal)
Dy. Registrar