



Central Information Commission

Room No. 305, 2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhavan,

Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Web: www.cic.gov.in Tel No: 26167931

Case No. CIC/SS/A/2012/001958

Dated: 14.06.2013

Name of Appellant : Shri V.N. Mathur
Name of Respondent : Delhi Police, South East District
Date of Hearing : 21.05.2013

ORDER

Shri Sumit Chaudhuri, hereinafter called the appellant, has filed the present appeal dated 3.11.2011 before the Commission against the respondent Delhi Police, South East District for providing false, fabricated and misleading information in response to his RTI application dated 14.11.2011. The appellant was present whereas the respondent were represented by Shri V.K. Nayyar, ACP/Sarita Vihar, Shri Ajay Sharma, Inspector and Shri D.K. Tejwan, SI.

2. The appellant through his RTI application dated 14.11.2011 sought information on the following four queries: *“(a) Whether the DG (Tourism) Office have ever approved and accorded sanction to run a Guest House in the name of “Royal Place for Stay”, Plot No., 21, Pocket-II, Jasola, New Delhi; (b) Anil Bahadur Mathur had stayed with a Chinese/Cambodian Girl for about two to four weeks in August, 2011; (c) What is the residential address of the Girl with Passport No, Telephone No., and e-mail etc; and (d) Duration of their stay in the Guest House and the amount paid by Anil Bahadur Mathur”*. The CPIO vide letter No. ID-2590 & 2661/599/RTI Cell/South East Distt dated 18.1.2012 denied information under the provisions of Section 8(1)(g) of the RTI Act on the grounds

that disclosure of such information might endanger the life or physical safety of the person and would also cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual. The appellant filed RTI applications dated 26.12.2011 and 3.2.2012 where he countered the reply of CPIO/SED. The CPIO has replied vide his letters dated 10.1.2012 and 6.3.2012 that the information sought cannot be provided to the appellant being third party information.

3. Aggrieved with the reply of the CPIO/Central District, the appellant preferred first appeal before the FAA. The FAA vide order No. 213-216/RTI/A. SEC/Addl. CP/SED dated 13.3.2012 upheld the reply of the CPIO.

4. The appellant's allegation regarding illegal running of the aforementioned Guest House in the name of "Royal Place for Stay" has not been replied to by the respondent. The Commission hereby directs the CPIO to provide appropriate reply to this query and also to inform the appellant, if indeed such a Guest House exists, whether it is following the mandatory Foreigners Registration Rules and intimate the appellant of the action taken by them, if any. The CPIO will comply with the directions of the Commission **within four weeks** of receipt of this order.

The matter is disposed of on the part of the Commission with above directions/observations.

(Sushma Singh)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy:

(K.K. Sharma)
OSD & Deputy Registrar

Address of the parties:

Shri V.N. Mathur,
75-B, Pocket A, SFS DDA Flats,
Sukhdev Vihar,
New Delhi-110025.

Addl. Deputy Commissioner of Police & CPIO,
Delhi Police, South East District,
Sarita Vihar,
New Delhi-110076

Addl. Commissioner of Police & FAA,
Delhi Police, South East District,
Sarita Vihar,
New Delhi-110076