



Central Information Commission
Room No.306, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110 066
Website: www.cic.gov.in

CIC/SM/A/2013/000968/SS

DATE OF ORDER : 18.2.14

Appellant : Pramod Ganpat Sawant

Respondent : Department of Personnel & Training

Date of Hearing : 18.2.14

ORDER

1. This appeal is filed through letter dated 25.5.13.

Appellant is represented by Shri Dhananjay Kumar.

Respondent is represented by Shri B. Bandyopadhyay, CPIO, Shri Raju Saraswat, CPIO and Shri Debabrata Das, CPIO.

2. The RTI application was made on 3.1.13 seeking information on 6 points relating to working hours, compensatory off etc of Group B & C employees.

CPIO responded through letters dated 17.1.13 and 4.2.13.

Through letter F. No. 19/1/2013-JCA2 dated 17.1.2013, respondent CPIO provided the information sought at points nos. 2 and 5 of the RTI application. Through letter No. 28034/A/2013 Estt.(A) dated 4.2.13, information on point nos. 1 & 3 was provided. Later on, through letter dated 6.2.13 (letter no. 21011/01/2013 – Estt. (Allowances), information sought at point no. 4 of the RTI application was given by the respondent CPIO.

3. FAA in his order dated 14.2.13 has observed that the appellant was given the information by the CPIO in regard to office timings, working hours and holidays in Central Government and also given copies of relevant circulars in this regard. With respect to point no. 6, FAA has held that the query was beyond the scope and ambit of the RTI Act.

4. Appellant is satisfied with the response provided by the CPIO with respect to point nos. 2 & 3 of the RTI application.

Commission, hereby, observes that the query sought at point no. 6 of the RTI application (*"whether extra duty forced on class B & C non-gazetted Government employees for performing operational duties and general duties can be refused by staff? If yes, then copies of relevant documents in this regard should be given. If no, then for how many hours staff can perform his duties continuously. Copies of relevant documents in this regard should be given."*) does not fall within the definition of 'information' as defined in S.2(f) of the RTI Act. The response of the CPIO with respect to the remaining points of the RTI application is satisfactory.

5. This appeal is disposed of accordingly.

(Sushma Singh)

Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated by

(D.C. Singh)
Deputy Registrar

Address of the Parties:

1. The Under Secretary (JCA) & CPIO.,
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievance & Pension,
Department of Personnel & Training
North Block,
New Delhi – 11 00 01.

2. Deputy Secretary (JCA) & FAA,
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievance & Pension,
Department of Personnel & Training
North Block,
New Delhi – 11 00 01.

3. Shri Pramod Ganpat Sawant,
A- 701, Sahayadri CHS,
Sadguru Nagar, Devipada,
Borivalli (East),
Mumbai – 400 066.