

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
Club Building (Near Post Office)
Old JNU Campus, New Delhi - 110067
Tel: +91-11-26161796

Decision No. CIC/SG/A/2012/001177/19088
Appeal No. CIC/SG/A/2012/001177

Relevant Facts emerging from the Appeal

Appellant : Mrs. Shakuntala Jayant
R/o J-57, Pandav Nagar
(opposite mother dairy)
Delhi- 110092

Respondent : PIO
Municipal Corporation of Delhi
Central Establishment Department
Dr. Shyama Prasad Mukherji
Civic Centre (22nd Floor)
Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg
New Delhi- 110002

RTI application filled on : 12/12/2011
PIO replied : 19/01/2012
First appeal filed on : 27/01/2012
First Appellate Authority order : 14/03/2012
Second Appeal received on : 13/04/2012

The Appellant had sought information regarding up to date Action Taken Report in the following table in connection with enclosed letter dated 14.11.11 regarding Regular appointment to the post of School Inspector:

S. No	Information Sought	Reply of the Public Information Officer (PIO)
1.	Date on which application received	17.11.11
2.	Name and designation of the officer receiving it.	Director- 17.11.11 Asth. Comm- II- 18.11.11 AO (Estt)- IV- 21.11.11 RPA- III- 21.11.11
3.	Action taken by receiving officer	Kept for examine for similar cases
4.	Date on which forwarded to next officer	Under examine with dealing Assistant
5.	Number of days with the officers	52 days being examined alone with similar cases

Grounds for the First Appeal:

Unsatisfactory and incomplete reply from PIO.

Order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA):

FAA ordered PIO to provide information within ten working days after receiving the order.

Grounds for the Second Appeal:

PIO didn't provide full information even after FAA passed order.

Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing:

The following were present

Appellant: Mrs. Shakuntala Jayant;

Respondent: Absent;

The PIO has provided information to the Appellant after the order of the FAA that “no notings have been made upon your representation and application have been kept for examine alongwith similar application for regular appointment on the post of School Inspector.”. It is evident that no action has been taken on the appellant’s application. The appellant however, desires that a copy of her application to be provided to her so that she has evidence that no notings have been made on it or if subsequently any notings have been made she will get the information.

Decision:

The Appeal is allowed.

The PIO is directed to send an attested copy of appellant’s application with or without any notings and any other letters that may be available in regard to this before 10 June 2012.

This decision is announced in open chamber.

Notice of this decision be given free of cost to the parties.

Any information in compliance with this Order will be provided free of cost as per Section 7(6) of RTI Act.

Shailesh Gandhi
Information Commissioner
24 May 2012

(In any correspondence on this decision, mention the complete decision number.)(SS)