

Minutes of the meeting held under the chairmanship of Shri Sanjay Kothari, Secretary, DOP&T on 13.05.2015 at 04:00 P.M to consider the cases of inter cadre deputation/ inter cadre transfer/ extension of inter cadre deputation.

Meeting was held under the Chairmanship of Secretary (P) on 13.05.2015 at 04:00 P.M to consider the cases of inter cadre deputation/ inter cadre transfer/ extension of inter cadre deputation/ over-stayal on inter cadre deputation. During the meeting, EO & AS and AS(S&V) who are members of the committee were also present. Besides, Dir(AIS), Dir(AVD-I), US(S-II) and US(AVD-I) were also present to assist the Committee in the meeting;

2. After detailed deliberations and careful consideration in each case, the following decisions have been taken in the meeting:-

CASE 1: Ms Aparna U, IAS (UP:01) Inter cadre deputation from Uttar Pradesh cadre to Andhra Pradesh cadre.

The Committee was informed that Vigilance Information System states "Consult AVD-I". The Committee directed **AVD-I to furnish current vigilance status of the officer expeditiously**. The Committee further directed that once current vigilance clearance is received that **ACC note for inter cadre deputation of the officer be again sent to EO Division for obtaining approval of ACC**. The Chief Secretary UP has sent the current status recently.

CASE 2: Dr Nidhi Pandey, IAS(MH:01) Inter cadre deputation to her home state Uttar Pradesh for the remaining permissible deputation period i.e till 17.06.2016.

The Committee was informed that no fresh comments from the State Governments of Maharashtra and Uttarakhand have been received, in this Department. Also nothing is mentioned in the rules for such an inter cadre deputation, where the officer already on deputation to one state seeks deputation to another state for the remaining permissible period of deputation. The Committee decided **not to recommend the case of the officer** for inter cadre deputation to her home state Uttar Pradesh for the remaining permissible deputation period i.e till 17.06.2016 and directed to **incorporate the case in the quarterly report to be submitted to ACC and the officer to be informed**.

CASE 3: Ms Bhavya Mittal, IAS (MH:14) Inter cadre transfer from Maharashtra cadre to Madhya Pradesh cadre on the grounds of marriage to Shri Rishav Gupta, IAS(MP:14).

The Committee was informed that Ms Bhavya Mittal, IAS (MH:14)'s home state is Delhi and the proposal is covered under the Guidelines. The Committee directed that **comments of both the concerned State Governments may be called for immediately and a copy of the letter may be endorsed to the concerned officer**. The status be kept on website.

CASE 4: Shri Vijay Namdeorao Zade, IAS (PB:02) Inter cadre deputation from Punjab cadre to Maharashtra cadre.

The Committee was informed that the officer had requested for inter cadre deputation on the grounds of ill health of his mother, but no medical documents have been found enclosed with the application. The Committee directed that **comments of the State Government of Maharashtra may be called for immediately and a copy of the letter may be endorsed to the concerned officer.**

CASE 5: Ms Swetika Sachan, IAS(MT:14) Inter cadre transfer from Manipur-Tripura cadre to AGMUT cadre on the grounds of marriage to Shri Abhinav Kumar, IFoS(AGMUT:14).

The Committee was informed that Ms Swetika Sachan, IAS(MT:14)'s home state is Uttar Pradesh and comments of the concerned States Government have been sought and are awaited. The Committee was also informed that VIS Status of the officer states "No cases against the officer" and IPR of the officer is not traceable. Error is occurring on the website of DOPT. The Committee directed AVD-I to provide the status of **IPR of the officer immediately to AIS-I Division.**

CASE 6: Ms Riju Bafna, IAS(CH:14) Inter cadre transfer from Chhattisgarh cadre to Madhya Pradesh cadre on the ground of marriage to Shri Avi Prasad, IAS(MP:14).

The Committee was informed that the parent cadre of the officer had not agreed for change of cadre of the above officer. The officer had requested the State Government for reconsideration of her application for cadre change, but the State Government of Chhattisgarh has decided not to make any change in their earlier conveyed disagreement for the proposal for cadre change of the officer to Madhya Pradesh cadre. The Committee directed that **Ms Riju Bafna, IAS(CH:14) may be informed that the State Government of Chhattisgarh had again not agreed for the proposal and thus the proposal may be treated as "Closed" and to be incorporated in the quarterly report to be submitted to ACC.** The Committee further directed that it may be informed to the officer that her spouse viz. Shri Avi Prasad, IAS(MP:14), if so desire, may apply for inter cadre transfer to Chhattisgarh cadre.

CASE 7: Dr Adapa Karthik, IAS(PB:08) Inter cadre deputation from Punjab cadre to AGMUT cadre for appointment to the post of Secretary (below supertime scale) in Chandigarh Administration for a period of 3 years.

The Committee was informed that the officer being of 2008 batch has not completed required 9 years of service. The grounds are not personal difficulties and also willingness of the officer is not received. The Committee observed that it is a proposal for post specific deputation to Chandigarh and earlier such proposals have been approved in relaxation of Guidelines. The Committee directed that **comments of the State Government of Punjab**

and willingness of the officer may be called for and copy of the same may be endorsed to the concerned officer. The Committee also directed that after receipt of the same, ACC note for the proposal may be put up.

CASE 8: Ms Ashima Jain, IAS(BH:08) Extension of inter cadre deputation period to AGMUT cadre (Delhi segment).

The Committee was informed that inter cadre deputation of the officer was approved for a period of 3 years, in relaxation of Guidelines i.e non-completion of required 9 years of service. The officer had again requested MHA for re-consideration of her request as MHA has sympathetically considered and recommended her extension for further two years. The Committee mentioned that in view of the grounds put forth by the officer, the case may be dealt sympathetically. Accordingly, the Committee directed that **ACC note for the extension of the deputation period of the officer for further two years may be put up.**

CASE 9: Shri Dhaval Jain, IAS(WB:14) Cadre Change from West Bengal cadre, on the basis of PH (Physically Handicapped) policy dated 14.02.2014.

The Committee was informed that the officer has requested for change of the order of priority for the cadre preference given by him earlier. The Committee also perused the medical board report of the officer. The Committee **"agreed for"** the proposal for cadre change of the officer and directed that **comments of all the concerned State Governments may be called for and a copy of the letter may be endorsed to the officer.** The Committee also directed that **change of the order of priority for the cadre preference cannot be accepted.** However, in case the comments of the State Government of Rajasthan are received first then the **ACC note for the cadre change of the officer to Rajasthan cadre will be put up without waiting for comments of other State Governments.**

CASE 10: Shri Gholap Ramesh Gorakh, IAS(JH:12) Cadre Change from Jharkhand cadre, on the basis of PH (Physically Handicapped) policy dated 14.02.2014.

The Committee was informed that the VIS Status of the officer states "Consult AVD-I" and comments of AVD-I had been sought on 11.05.2015. The Committee perused the medical board report of the officer. The Committee **"agreed for"** the proposal and directed that **comments of all the concerned State Governments may be called for with a copy marked to the officer.** The Committee also directed **AVD-I section to convey the vigilance status of the officer, to AIS-I Section immediately.**

CASE 11: Shri Kuldeep Sharma, IAS(CG:14) Cadre Change from Chhattisgarh cadre, on the basis of PH (Physically Handicapped) policy dated 14.02.2014.

The Committee observed that the officer has been allocated Chhattisgarh cadre which is already geographically contiguous with his home state i.e Uttar Pradesh. The Committee further observed that the officer had inter-alia mentioned that sizeable part of Chhattisgarh is under forest cover it may require long distance travel on a regular basis. Also, if posted in the interior areas he may not be able to get the medical facilities which he requires

on a regular basis. The Committee also perused the medical board report of the officer. The Committee directed that ACC note for **policy decision inter-alia for such cases where the officer is already in geographically contiguous state, be put up.**

CASE 12: Ms Monika Gupta, IAS(AM:14) Cadre Change from Assam-Meghalaya cadre, on the basis of PH (Physically Handicapped) policy dated 14.02.2014.

The Committee perused the medical board report of the officer which inter-alia recommended that her request for change of cadre may be granted. The Committee was also informed that VIS Status of the officer states "No cases against the officer" and IPR of the officer is not traceable. Error is occurring on the website of DOPT. The Committee directed AVD-I to provide the status of IPR of the officer immediately to AIS-I Division. Also the Committee directed that **explanation may be sought from the medical board as to why the medical board has recommended the proposal for cadre change of the officer when they were required to just indicate the finding about medical status. Also the case is again to be put up to the Committee after reply from medical board is received. Meanwhile the Committee directed to request the State Governments for their comments/ consent for change of cadre.**

CASE 13: Shri Shiraz Daneshyar, IAS(WB:14) Cadre Change from West Bengal cadre, on the basis of PH (Physically Handicapped) policy dated 14.02.2014.

The Committee perused the medical board report of the officer which inter-alia mentioned that at present his case is not recommended for change of cadre. The Committee directed that **explanation may be sought from the medical board as to why the medical board has recommended the proposal for cadre change of the officer when they were required to just indicate the finding about medical status. Also the case is again to be put up to the Committee after reply from medical board is received. Meanwhile the Committee directed to request the State Governments for their comments/ consent for change of cadre.**

CASE 14: Shri Parth Gupta, IAS (AGMUT:13) Inter cadre transfer from AGMUT cadre to Haryana cadre.

The Committee was informed that "No Objection/ Consent" of the concerned State Government/ Cadre Controlling Authority had been received also the officer is clear from vigilance angle, as per vigilance status dated 07.04.2015. The Committee directed that **the proposal for cadre change of the officer may be put up immediately for the approval of Secretary(P).**

CASE 15: Cadre Change of Ms K Radhika Aiyar, IAS(WB:11) from West Bengal cadre, on the basis of PH (Physically Handicapped) policy dated 14.02.2014.

The Committee was informed that two states geographically contiguous to home state of the officer viz **Delhi** are **Haryana and Uttar Pradesh**. Third state closest to Delhi in terms of distance is **Uttarakhand** (as searched through google). However, the priorities given by the officer are **Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh and Haryana**. Accordingly, comments of only the State Government of Haryana were sought. The officer had now requested that till now Haryana which is geographically contiguous and third preference of the officer has not given consent. In light of above the officer has requested to forward her application to **Rajasthan cadre which is the closest to her home state**. It was inadvertently mentioned in the meeting that the second medical examination of the officer is yet to be done. Thus the Committee desired to know the reason for the same. However, second medical examination of the officer was done on 04.12.2014 in Dr R.M.L Hospital which inter-alia mentioned that the percentage of disability in her case is 40%. The Committee directed to seek the comments/ consent of the State Governments.

CASE 16: Shri Varun Ranjan, IAS(UP:14) Inter cadre transfer from Uttar Pradesh cadre to Jharkhand cadre.

The Committee was informed that "Consent" of both the concerned State Governments have been received also the VIS Status of the officer states "No cases against the officer" and IPR dated 19.12.2014 of the officer is available on the website of DOPT. The Committee directed that **the proposal for cadre change of the officer may be put up immediately for the approval of Secretary(P)**.

Meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.
